

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JAN 27 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OSCAR GONZALEZ PEREZ,

Petitioner,

V.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-72636

Agency No. A96-344-257

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 23, 2006\*\*

Before: T.G. NELSON, SILVERMAN and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard); *see also* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D) (requiring showing "that removal would result in exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to the alien's spouse, parent, or child, who is a citizen of the United States or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence."); *Molina-Estrada v. INS*, 293 F.3d 1089, 1093-94 (9th Cir. 2002) (denying cancellation of removal where alien lacked a qualifying relative under the statute).

Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.